ERIC HINES #146993B SOUTH WOODS STATE PRISON 215 BURLINGTON ROAD SO. BRIDGETON, NJ 08302



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY CAMBEN VICINAGE SEPTEMBER 24, 2019

ERIC HINES.

HON: NOEL L. HILLMAN, U.S.D.J.

DIDINITICE

CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:17-CV-02864-NLH-JS

1.

CARY M. LANIGANIEM.

RESPONDENT :

RE HINES V. LANIGAN CLVIL NO. 17-2864 (NLH/JS)

DEAR MR. JOEL SCHNEIDER, UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE.

YOUR HONORABLE JOEL SCHNEIDER, I TRUIT THANK YOU FOR YOUR LETTER, I STARTED A RESPONSE TO SCHEDULE ORAL ARGUMENT RESPONSE ON AUGUST IG 2019. MEDICAL NEEDED SECOND OPERATION AND SEVERE PAIN TAKES ME FOR EVER TO COMPOSE ANYTHING. PIEASE GRANT APPRILLANT A CHANCE TO EXPLAIN THE HELL MR. LANDESMAN LEFT ME IN. ALSO I REQUEST, NO I'M BEGGING FOR THE COURT TO GET ME OUT OF SAID PRISON YOU WILL SEE IN MOTION I FEAR FOR MY LIFE AND FOR THIS REASON I'VE BEEN IN ADMINISTRATIVE CLOSE SEGREGATION UNIT SINCE 2016. PIEASE HELP ME!!

PERSECUTION. DISCRIMINATION. RETALIATORY ACT. MID ASSAULTS I CAN.
TAKE ANY MORE

CC: HONDRABLE NOELL, HILLMAN, U.S.D.J.
MARVIN L. FREEMAN, ESQ, DUTY ATT. CEN.
CHARLES HARRY LANDESMAN, ESQ

Eric Hun

ERIC HINES #146993B SOUTH WOODS STATE PRISON 215 BURLINGTON ROAD SOUTH BRIDGETON, N.J. 08302

> UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY CAMDEN VICINAGE

ERIC HINES: HOW, NOEL L. HILLMAN, U.S.D.J.

PLAINTIFF: CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:17-CV-02864-NLH-JS

GARY M. LANIGAN. . NOTICE OF MOTION TO RELIEVE PRESENT COUNSEL.

RESPONDENT: NOTICE OF MOTION FOR DRDER TO RETRIEVE E-MAILS.

NOTICE OF MOTION FOR EXTENSION TO CONFORM WITH, DEFENDANTS REQUEST INTERROGATORIES AND PRODUCTIONS OF DOCUMENTS FED. R. CIV. P., 33 AND 34., AND NOTICE OF MOTION FOR LEAUETO FILE APPLICATION FOR

PRO BOND COUNSEL.

TO: JOEL SCHNEIDER UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

CHARLES HARRY LANDESMAN, ESQUIRE

MARVIN L. FREEMAN, ESO DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL

Please TAKE NOTICE, THAT THE UNDERSIGNED, PLAINTIFF ERIC HINES, HEREBY MOVES BEFORE JOEL SCHNEIDER, UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE, AND THE HONDRABLE NOEL L. HILLMAN, U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE, SITTING AT THE U.S. COURTHOUSE IN CAMBEN, NEW JERSEY, FOR AN ORDER RELIEVE, CHARLES H. LANDESMAN, ESO, AS COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF IN THE ABOVE CAPTIONED MATTER, FOR AN ORDER TO RETRIEVE E-MAILS ERIC HINES SENT TO AND FROM CHARLES H. LANDESMAN, ESO, AND FOR AN ORDER FOR EXTENSION TO CONFORM WITH, DEFENDANTS REQUEST

INTERROGATORIES AND PRODUCTIONS OF DOCUMENTS FEB. R.CIV. P., 33 AND 34. AND FOR AN ORDER FOR LEAVE TO FILE APPLICATION FOR PRO BOND COUNSEL.

PLAINTIFF SHALL RELY UPON THE CERTIFICATION OF ERIC HINES #146993B DATED SEPTEMBER 17, 2019, THAT IS BEING FILED WITH THIS MOTIONS.

NO TRIAL DATE HAS BEEN SET FOR THIS CASE.

DATED SEPTEMBER 18, 2019

ERIC HINES PLANTIFF ERIC HINES #146993B SOUTH WOODS STATE PRISON 215 BURLINGTON ROAD SOUTH BRIDGETON, N.J. 08302

> UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY CAMDEN VICINAGE

ERIC HINES : HON. NOEL L. HILLMAN, U.S.D. J.

PLAINTIFF : CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:17-CV-02864-NLH-IS

٧. "

CARY M. LANIGAN. " CERTIFICATION OF PLAINTIFF EDIC HINES

DEFENDANTS

PLAINTIFF ERIC HINES HEREBY CERTIFIES AS FOLLOWS:

I. I AM THE PLANNESF, ERIC HINES, IN THE ABOVE MATTER.

2. NOTICE OF MOTION TO RELIEVE PRESENT COUNSEL, CHARLES H. LANDESMAN, ESO.

A. JUDGE JOEL SCHNEIDER HAD SET ORAL ARCUMENT FOR SEPTEMBER 16, 2019, HAD PLAINTIFF DISJECTED TO MOTION COURT HAD TO BE ADVISED IN WRITING BY SEPTEMBER 9, 2019, AND APPEAR IN PERSON ON SEPTEMBER 16, 2019 HEARING.

HAD A TRIBUNAL BEEN CONVENED WITHOUT PLANTIFF HAVING ACCESS TO SAID TRIBUNAL; TO INTRODUCE EVIDENCE ON PERSONNAL ISSUES, WOULD VIOLATE PLANTIFF RIGHT TO A FAIR IMPARTIAL REVIEW. IF NO ORAL ARCUMENT HAS COMMENCED, COUNSEL FAURE TO ACT CREATED DIRE RISK TO PLANTIFF'S LIFE FUTURE HEALTH, LIFE; ASSAULTS, COUNSEL ANDREW TO PROTECT HIS CLIENT, HAS LEAD PLAINTIFF TO FORMAL PLEA FOR HEIP III DOCUMENTS WILL CONFIRM, WERE COUNSEL WAS INFORMED PLAINTIFF FEARED FOR HE'S LIFE.

B. PLAINTIFF ERIC HINKS. HAS EXPESSED DISPLEASURE WITH THE WHY MR. LANDESMAN, ESO ONCE PLAINTIFF RECEIVED COPY OF AMENDED COMPLAINT, HE FILED FEBRUARY 27, 2019. SEVERAL LETTERS AND E-MAILS FEBRUARY 27, 2019 TO MAY 14, 2019, DETAILS HIS MISSTATEMENT, URGENT ISSUE OF DIRE CONSEQUENCE WERE OMITTED!

C. ON OR ABOUT FEBRUARY 2, 2019 I PRESONALLY HANDES MR. LANDESMAND. ESD NUMEROUS GRIEVANCES IDIZOLIG TO 10115/18 DISPLAYING ADDITIONAL RETALIATORY ACTS. BY INTENTIONALLY DENYING WHEELCHAIR BOWND PLAINTIFF WEARING DIAPERS AND SELF DATHING, WHICH HAS CAUSED ITCHING, RACH, PENIS BURNING. AND SERIOUS OTHER MEDICAL NEEDS THE POLLOWING!

- 1. CHUX LUNDERPADS) TO SANITABILY CHANGE DIAPERS?
- 2. CARBACE TO DISPOSE OF DIAPERS:
- 3. ACCESS TO AN ACCESSIBLE SHOWER, TO SHOWER CHAIR
- 4. DISPOSABLE CLOVES TO ADDRESS FECAL IMPACTION; AND
- 5. SALITARY WIPES.

I SOURD FROM 10130/16 TO 10/15/18. 3 DIAPERS, 3 CATHETERS FOR A HOLD DAY, NO CLOVEL, TRACK BACE, WIFES, BY 12:00 NOON NO SUPPLIES FECES, URINE, IN DIAPER LEAKING ON SHEET. NP FARRSTED, NP D'MICO AFTER SEVERAL RESULTEST HAS FURCE ME TO CLEAN FECES WITH WASH CLOTH. STILL ONLY RECEIVE 5 DIAPER, 5 CATHETER, 5 CHOVERS, 5 WIRES, EVERY DAY PLAINTIFF RUNS OUT MEDICAL SUPPLIES SLEEP, WEAR FECES, URINE, IN DIAPER MOST DAY 12:00 NOON TO WEXT MURUILL, ATTORNEY VISIT HE WAS INFORMED FECES, URINE WAS IN DIAPER AS WE TAIK ON JUNE 5, 2019 STILL FAIL TO ACT, BREACH OF DUTY, DUE CARE.

D. E-MANIS SENT TO HIM MAY 21, 2009 TO JULE 11, 2019, CLEAR DETMIED IN CORRESPONDENCE WERE HE WAS NOTIFIED AND PUT ON THAT SCO. MARIN ASSAULTED PLANUTED AND APEIL IO, 2019 WITHERS BY INMATE DERRICK ROSSI #193994C. WHO IS WILLING TO GIVE A STATEMENT, SAME THIMBTE WITHERS SCO. L. SMITH TAKE ME OFF CAMERA DUTSIDE THE DOOR ON APRIL 27, 2019 ASSAULTING PLANUTED BY CHOKING MR. LANDESMAN WAS MADE AWARE OF TWO TUCKENTS ON JULE 5, 2019 ATTORNEY INSURED PLANUTIFF ORDER TO RETAIN CAMERA FOOTAGE AS EUIDENCE WOULD BE SUBMITTED TO COURT. AND WOULD PETITION THE COURT TO HAVE PLANUTIFF MOVED FOR LIG SAFETY. MR. LANDESMAN, NOT ONLY DID HE CALL TO ACT BUT SAID THACTION CAUSE PLANUTIFF TO BE ASSAULTED AGAIN BY SCO. L. SMITH ON JUNE 22, 2019 WHICH WAS ALSO WITHESS. MR. LANDESMAN, FAILURE TO ACT WAS A BREACH OF DUTY, BREACH OF PROMISE. BREACH OF THE PEACE, AND BREACH OF TRUST TO HIS CLIRATE

HISO LECAL MR. LANDESMAN OWED PLAINTIFF DUE CARE "LEGAL DUTY"
WHICH STATES: ALSO "FAILURE TO EXERCISE DUE CARE IS A FAILURE TO
PERFORM SOME SPECIFIC DUTY REQUIRED BY LAW. LAND THAT WAS TO

REPORT THE ASSAULT OF HIS CLIENT TO THE COURTS. TO PROTECT HIM FROM EMINEUT DANAGER") IT'S "MEANS CARE WHICH IS REASONABLY COMMENSURATE WITH A KNOWN DANGER AND THE SERIOUSNESS OF THE CONSEQUENCES WHICH ARE LIABLE TO FOLLOW IT'S OMISSION....
DUE CARE MAY BE EITHER DRDINARY CARE OR A HIGH DEGREE OF CARE, ACCORDING TO THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE PARTICULAR CASE.

PLAINTIFF IS REQUEST ACTION TO BE PART OF THE RECORD, AND VIDED SHOULD BE ORDER BY THE COURT AS EVIDENCE TO HIS CRIME, LEGAL DUTY WAS COWED TO HIS CLIENT, IF ORAL ARCUMENT RULING WAS MADE WITHOUT MR LANDESMAN STATING ABOVE FACTS ON THE RULES OF THE RECORD. PHINT-IFF APPEAL TO COURT TO RECONSTRUCT THE RECORD. THE FOLLOWING EXHIBIT WILL IN LIGHTEN THE COURT INTENTIONAL HEGIEST:

EXHIBIT#1 PROVES HIS KNOWLEDGE OF THE FACTS.

EXHIBITE PROJEC ON 11/21/2018 HE HAD KNOWLEDGE I FEARED
FOR MY LIFE IN SOUTH WOODS STATE PRISON.

EXHIBITES MEDICAL SITUATION A DETAILED IN GRIEVANCES

EXHIBIT FY-A, 4-B, 4-C DETAIL SID, OFFICER THREAT OF HARM, HED ATTURNEY ASSAULTS MAY NO HAVE ACCURED.

EXHIBIT # 5. PROVES STATEMENT IN CERTIFICATION IS NOT TRUE,

EXHIBIT \$6 SENT TO COURT STATING ACTION.

EXHLIBIT FROM MR. LANDESMAN, CONFIRM REPRESENTING ATTORNEY WAS MORE IMPORTANT THEM HIS CLIENT SAFETY.

EXHIBIT 48 PLANITIFF RESPONSE ON KIOSK, TO MR LANDESMAN ACTION TO NOT PROTECT HIS CLIENT AS A DEFICER OF THE COURT.

EXHIBIT 49 NOTIFICATION CONFIRMING PLANUTIFF WAS SUPPOSE TO APPEARING PROCESSED OR BY TELE-CONFERENCE

3 NOTICE OF MOTION FOR ORDER TO RETRIEVE, E-MAILS

PLAINTIFF HUMBY REQUESTS COURTS PROMISSION FOR AN ORDER TO REPRIEUE ALL E-MAILS SENT TO MR. LANDESMAN, AS WELL AS THE E-MAIL SENT TO CLIENT, BECAUSE MR. LANDESMAN ACTION VIOLENTE STRICKEN V. WASHINGTON WHICH NULLIFY ANY ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE. THIS ACTION IS THE GNLY WAY FOR PLAINTIFF TO PROTECT HIS CONSTITUTION RICHT TO NOT ANY ISSUE BUT DUE PROCESS OF THE LAW, AND RIGHT TO SUIT FOR LECAL RELIEF.

4. NOTICE BR MOTION FOR EXTENSION TO CONFORM WITH DEPENDANTS
REDUST INTERROCATORIES AND PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS.
FED.R. CIV. P. 33 AND 34.

I HOK THE COURT TO PIEASE TAKE A LOOK AT EXHIBIT # 8. WITH CHEARLY STATES: "SO AGAIN RETURN MY DOCUMENTS" WHICH CONFIRM THIS WASN'T PLAINTIFF FIRST REQUEST FOR RETURN OF IMPORTANT DOCUMENTS.

EXHIBIT # 10 CONFIRMS MR. LANDESMAN, SENT ME THIS CORRESP-UNDENCE INFORM PLANTIFF THAT REQUEST FOR DEFENDANTS THERROGATORIES AND PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS HAD TO BE ANSWER WITHIN 30DAYS. MR. LANDESMAN SURELY KNOW THEIR WAS NO WAY OF PLAINTIFF COMPLING WHEN HE FAIL TO RETURN THE DOCUMENTS IN QUESTION. PLEASE ORDER MR. LANDESMAN TO PRO PERLY RETURN PLAINTIFF DOCUMENTS IN FULL.

5. NOTICE OF MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE APPLICATION FOR PROBONO.

BECAUSE OF PHINTIFF BEING WHEELCHMIR BOUND WITH NUMEROUS MEDICAL PROBLEM, COUPLED WITH MEDICAL KNOWLEDGE AND OTHER INFORMATION WHICH DAN'T BE OBTAINED IN ADMINISTRATIVE CLOSE SEGREGATION UNIT, WERE PLAINTIFF IS LOCKED DOWN 23 HOUR A DAY WITH MINIMUM ACCESS TO A BARE CACE WITH NO LAW BOOK, PARA-LECALS, OR LEGAL ASSISTANCE FOR THE ABOUR OVER ALL REASON PETITION THE COURT FOR THE STATED ORDER.

EVERY STATEMENT PLAINTIFF HAS DOCUMENTED AND TRANSCRIBED IN ABOVE CERTIFICIATION IS DECOUNDED IN E-MAILS, AND GRIEVANCES WHICH HAVE BEEN EXHAUST TO THE FULFILL MENT ALOUD BY NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION, ADMINISTRATORS.

I CERTIFY THAT THE ABOVE STATEMENTS MADE BY ME ARETRUE, I AM AWARE THAT IF ANY OF THE FOREGOING STATEMENTS MADE BY ME ARE WILLFULLY PAISE, I AM SUBJECT TO PUNISHMENT.

DATED: SEPTEMBER 18, 2019

ERIC HINES*146993B